

**Rules of Differentiation**

*The Product Rule.* If  $u(x)$  and  $v(x)$  are functions, continuous in an interval  $[a, b]$  with derivatives  $u'(a)$  and  $v'(a)$  respectively at the point  $x = a$ , then the derivative of their product  $p(x) = u(x)v(x)$  at that point is

$$p'(a) = u(a)v'(a) + v(a)u'(a).$$

**Proof.** Take a point  $x > a$  which lies in  $(a, b)$ . Then we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p(x) - p(a)}{x - a} &= \frac{u(x)v(x) - u(a)v(a)}{x - a} \\ &= \frac{u(x)\{v(x) - v(a)\} + v(a)\{u(x) - u(a)\}}{x - a} \\ &= u(x)\frac{v(x) - v(a)}{x - a} + v(a)\frac{u(x) - u(a)}{x - a}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\lim(x \rightarrow a)v(x) = v(a)$ . Also, by assumption, the limits as  $x \rightarrow a$  of the two fractional parts in the line above exist and are equal to  $v'(a)$  and  $u'(a)$  respectively. Therefore

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{p(x) - p(a)}{x - a} = p'(a) = u(a)v'(a) + v(a)u'(a). \quad \diamond$$

The schoolbook method of proving this result is to consider  $y = uv$  and to suppose that, when  $x$  has a small increment  $\delta x$ , then  $u$  has the increment  $\delta u$  and  $v$  has the increment  $\delta v$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} y + \delta y &= (u + \delta u)(v + \delta v) \\ &= uv + u\delta v + v\delta u + \delta u\delta v. \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting  $y = uv$  from both sides and dividing by  $\delta x$  gives

$$\frac{\delta y}{\delta x} = u\frac{\delta v}{\delta x} + v\frac{\delta u}{\delta x} + \frac{\delta u}{\delta x}\delta v.$$

Then it is argued that the ratios of the differentials tend towards the corresponding derivatives as  $\delta x \rightarrow 0$  and that the final term disappears because  $\delta v \rightarrow 0$ . It should be noted that a proof such as this, which makes no reference

to the values of the functions  $u$  and  $v$  at any specific point, presupposes the existence of the derivatives at all points.

**Example.** The so-called nominal value  $V = pq$  of a manufacturing process is the product of the number of items  $q$  produced per unit period, ie. the quantity, and the unit price  $p$ . Both price and quantity are liable to change over time, leading to a change in the value of the process. Assuming that  $p = p(t)$  and  $q = q(t)$  are continuous differentiable functions of time, we have

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = p \frac{dq}{dt} + q \frac{dp}{dt}.$$

The proportional or percentage rate of change of the value is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{V} \frac{dV}{dt} &= \frac{p}{V} \frac{dq}{dt} + \frac{q}{V} \frac{dp}{dt} \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \frac{dq}{dt} + \frac{1}{p} \frac{dp}{dt}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the sum of the proportional changes in price and in quantity. If prices are increasing at a rate of 10% per annum and the quantity manufactured is growing at a rate of 15% per annum, then the nominal value of the output is changing at a rate of 25% per annum. These are instantaneous rates of change.

Imagine that price were to change over a twelve-month period by 10% and that quantity were to change by 15%. Denote prices at the start of the period by  $p_0$  and at the end of the period by  $p_1$ . Use  $q_0$  and  $q_1$  likewise for quantity. Then

$$p_1 = 1.10 \times p_0 \quad \text{and} \quad q_1 = 1.15 \times q_0;$$

and the percentage change in the value of output over the period would be

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{V_1 - V_0}{V_0} &= \frac{p_1 q_1 - p_0 q_0}{p_0 q_0} \\ &= 1.10 \times 1.15 - 1.0 = 0.265. \end{aligned}$$

That is to say, there is a  $26\frac{1}{2}\%$  increase in value where one might have expected a 25% increase. This appears to contradict our previous finding. The seeming paradox is due to the fact that we are no longer dealing with instantaneous rates of change.

A little algebra may elucidate the matter. Let  $\Delta p = p_1 - p_0$ ,  $\Delta q = q_1 - q_0$  and  $\Delta V = V_1 - V_0$ , Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= (p_0 + \Delta p)(q_0 + \Delta q) \\ &= p_0 q_0 + p_0 \Delta q + q_0 \Delta p + \Delta p \Delta q. \end{aligned}$$

and, therefore, the proportional change in value is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Delta V}{V_0} &= \frac{p_0 \Delta q + q_0 \Delta p + \Delta p \Delta q}{p_0 q_0} \\ &= \frac{\Delta p}{p_0} + \frac{\Delta q}{q_0} + \frac{\Delta p \Delta q}{p_0 q_0}.\end{aligned}$$

In terms of our example, this equation reads

$$26\frac{1}{2}\% = 10\% + 15\% + \{10\% \times 15\% \}.$$

*The Quotient Rule.* If  $u(x)$  and  $v(x)$  are functions, continuous in an interval  $[a, b]$  with derivatives  $u'(a)$  and  $v'(a)$ , respectively, at the point  $x = a$ , then the derivative of their quotient  $q(x) = u(x)/v(x)$  at that point is

$$q'(a) = \frac{v(a)u'(a) - u(a)v'(a)}{v^2(a)}.$$

**Proof.** Take a point  $x > a$ . Then we may write

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{q(x) - q(a)}{x - a} &= \frac{u(x)v(a) - v(x)u(a)}{(x - a)v(x)v(a)} \\ &= \frac{v(a)\{u(x) - u(a)\} + u(a)\{v(x) - v(a)\}}{(x - a)v(x)v(a)} \\ &= \frac{1}{v(x)v(a)} \left\{ v(a) \frac{u(x) - u(a)}{x - a} - u(a) \frac{v(x) - v(a)}{x - a} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Here  $v(a) \neq 0$  by assumption and, since  $v(x)$  is continuous, there must be a neighbourhood of  $a$  in which  $v(x) \neq 0$  also. Therefore there is no problem here of a “division by zero”. Also the limits of the fractional parts of the expression above exist and are equal to  $u'(a)$  and  $v'(a)$  respectively. Therefore taking limits in the expression proves the theorem.  $\diamond$

One might wish to rephrase the proof in terms of differentials. Therefore let  $y = u/v$  and consider

$$y + \delta y = \frac{u + \delta u}{v + \delta v}.$$

Subtracting  $y = u/v$  from both sides gives

$$\begin{aligned}\delta y &= \frac{u + \delta u}{v + \delta v} - \frac{u}{v} \\ &= \frac{v(u + \delta u) - u(v + \delta v)}{v(v + \delta v)}.\end{aligned}$$

Dividing by  $\delta x$  gives

$$\frac{\delta y}{\delta x} = \frac{1}{v(v + \delta v)} \left\{ v \left( \frac{u + \delta u}{\delta x} \right) + u \left( \frac{v + \delta v}{\delta x} \right) \right\}.$$

Then taking limits gives the derivative:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{1}{v^2} \left\{ v \frac{du}{dx} - u \frac{dv}{dx} \right\}.$$

**Example.** Let  $Y$  denote the gross national product (GNP) of a country and let  $N$  denote its population. Then  $y = Y/N$  is the income per head. The quotient rule indicates that

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{Y}{N} \right) = \frac{1}{N^2} \left\{ N \frac{dY}{dt} - Y \frac{dN}{dt} \right\}.$$

The proportional rate of growth of income per head is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{y} \frac{dy}{dt} &= \frac{1}{NY} \left\{ N \frac{dy}{dt} - Y \frac{dN}{dt} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{Y} \frac{dY}{dt} - \frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{dt}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the growth in per capita income is evaluated by subtracting the population growth rate from the growth rate of GNP.