## THE SUBSAMPLING OF LINEAR STOCHASTIC PROCESSES

Consider the first-order autoregressive AR(1) model

$$y(t) = \alpha y(t-1) = \varepsilon(t). \tag{1}$$

On defining the lag operator L, which has the effect that Ly(t) = y(t-1), this can be written as

$$(1 - \alpha L)y(t) = \varepsilon(t). \tag{2}$$

We wish to consider the effect of sampling every *n*th point to produce the sequence  $x(t) = \{y_t; t = 0, \pm n, \pm 2n \dots\},\$ 

Multiplying both sides of (2) by

$$T(L) = \frac{(1 - \{\alpha L\}^n)}{(1 - \alpha L)} = 1 + \alpha L + \dots + \{\alpha L\}^{n-1},$$
(3)

which is a polynomial of finite degree, we get

$$(1 - \alpha^{n} L^{n})y(t) = (1 + \alpha L + \dots + \{\alpha L\}^{n-1})\varepsilon(t) = \zeta(t),$$
(4)

Here,  $\zeta_t = \varepsilon_t + \alpha \varepsilon_{t-1} + \cdots + \alpha^{n-1} \varepsilon_{t-n+1}$  is compounded from elements that postdate  $y_{t-n}$ . Therefore,  $\zeta_t$  and  $y_{t-n}$  are statistically independent. Also,  $\zeta_t$ and  $\zeta_{t-n}$ , which have no elements in common, are statistically independent; and, therefore,  $\eta(t) = \{\zeta_t; t = 0, \pm n, \pm 2n, \ldots\}$  is a white-noise sequence of independently and identically distributed random variables. If follows that a consistent estimate of  $\alpha^n = \phi$  can be obtained by applying the usual methods to the equation

$$x(t) = \phi x(t-1) + \eta(t),$$
(5)

comprising the subsampled sequence, x(t) and the corresponding subsequence  $\eta(t)$  of the disturbances.

Now consider the case of an AR(p) model

$$\alpha(L)y(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{p} (1 - \lambda_j L)y(t) = \varepsilon(t),$$
(6)

We may multiply both sides by

$$\beta(L) = \frac{\phi^*(L)}{\alpha(L)} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1 - \{\lambda_j L\}^n)}{\prod_{j=1}^p (1 - \lambda_j L)}$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^p (1 + \lambda_j L + \dots + \{\lambda L_j\}^{n-1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{p(n-1)} \beta_j L^j,$$
(7)

Now, there is

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p} \alpha_j L^{nj} y(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{p(n-1)} \beta_j L^j \varepsilon(t) = \zeta(t).$$
(8)

and the subsampled data sequence is described by an ARMA model of the form

$$\phi(L)x(t) = \eta(t),\tag{9}$$

where  $\phi(L) = 1 + \phi_n^* L + \cdots + \phi_{pn}^* L^p$ , which is of degree p, contains the nonzero coefficients of  $\phi^*(L)$ , which is degree np, and where  $\eta(t) = \{\zeta_t; t = 0, \pm n, \pm 2n, \ldots\}$  follows a moving-averge process.

The autocovariances of the disturbances  $\eta(t)$  are given by

$$\gamma_{k} = E(\eta_{t}\eta_{t-nk}) = E\left\{\left(\sum_{i}\beta_{i}\varepsilon_{t-i}\right)\left(\sum_{j}\beta_{j}\varepsilon_{t-j-nk}\right)\right\}$$
  
$$= \sum_{i}\sum_{j}\beta_{i}\beta_{j}E(\varepsilon_{t-i}\varepsilon_{t-j-nk}).$$
(9)

But

$$E(\varepsilon_{t-i}\varepsilon_{t-j-nk}) = \begin{cases} \sigma^2, & \text{if } i = j + nk; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(10)

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\gamma_k = \sigma^2 \sum_{j=0}^{p(n-1)} \beta_j \beta_{j+kn},\tag{11}$$

which becomes zero when kn > p(n-1), which is when  $k \ge p$ . It follows that the moving-average process describing the subsequence of disturbances  $\eta(t)$  has a maximum order of p-1. Therefore, the subsampled AR(p) sequence is described by an ARMA(p, p-1) process

Consider next, the general case of an  $\operatorname{ARMA}(p,q)$  model which can be denoted by

$$\alpha(L)y(t) = \mu(L)\varepsilon(t), \tag{12}$$

where  $\alpha(z)$  is a polynomial of degree p and  $\mu(z)$  is a polynomial of degree q. We seek a poynomial  $\beta(z)$  of degree h such that

$$\beta(z)\alpha(z) = \phi^*(z), \tag{13}$$

where  $\phi^*(z)$  is a polynomial of degree rn of which  $1, \phi_n, \ldots, \phi_{rn}$  are the only nonzero coefficients.

The degrees of the products on the LHS and the RHS of (13) must be the same, which imposes the condition that h + p = rn. Also, there are r(n - r)

## D.S.G. POLLOCK: BRIEF NOTES

1) coefficients in  $\phi(z^n)$ , which are subject to the restrictions that they are zero-valued. Since these conditions are imposed by a set of linear restrictions generated by the poynomial  $\beta(z)$  of degree h, we must have h = r(n-1). We can see that

$$h + p = rn$$
 and  $h = r(n-1)$  implies  $r = p$ , (14)

which is the degree of the autoregessive polynomial in the ARMA model that describes the subsampled sequence

To obtain the degree of the moving-average polynomial of sussampled process, we note that the degree of  $\beta(z)\mu(z)$  is h + q = p(n-1) + q. It follows that

$$E(\eta_t \eta_{t-kn}) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{kn}, & \text{if } kn \le p(n-1) + q; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(12)

On defining  $b = \text{Trunc}\{p(n-1) + q\}/n$  we can assert that the subsampled sequence  $x(t) = \{y_t; t = 0, \pm n, \pm 2n...\}$  follows an ARMA(p, d) process.

## References

Brewer, K.R.W., (1973), Some Consequences of Temporal Aggregations and Systematic Sampling for ARMA and ARMAX Models, *Journal of Econometrics*, 1, 133–154.

Telser, L.G., (1967), Discrete Samples and Moving Sums in Stationary Stochastic Processes, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 62, 484–499.