## THE PERIODOGRAM AND THE CIRCULAR AUTOCOVARIANCES

A natural way of representing the serial dependence of the elements of the data sequence  $[y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{T-1}]$  is to estimate their autocovariances. The empirical autocovariance of lag  $\tau$  is defined by the formula

(1) 
$$c_{\tau} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=\tau}^{T-1} (y_t - \bar{y})(y_{t-\tau} - \bar{y}).$$

The empirical autocorrelation of lag  $\tau$  is defined by  $r_{\tau} = c_{\tau}/c_0$  where  $c_0$ , which is formally the autocovariance of lag 0, is the variance of the sequence. The autocorrelation provides a measure of the relatedness of data points separated by  $\tau$  periods which is independent of the units of measurement.

The periodogram may be written as

(2) 
$$I(\omega_j) = \frac{T}{2}\rho_j^2 = \frac{2}{T} \left[ \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \cos(\omega_j t)(y_t - \bar{y}) \right\}^2 + \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \sin(\omega_j t)(y_t - \bar{y}) \right\}^2 \right],$$

where  $\omega_j = 2\pi j/T$  is the *j*th Fourier frequency, which relates to the trigonometrical function that completes *j* cycles in the period spanned by the data. We should also be aware of the identity  $\sum_t \cos(\omega_j t)(y_t - \bar{y}) = \sum_t \cos(\omega_j t)y_t$ , which follows from the fact that, by construction,  $\sum_t \cos(\omega_j t) = 0$  for all *j*. The inclusion of  $\bar{y}$  in (2) is to assist in the ensuing developments.

It is straightforward to establish the relationship between the periodogram and the sequence of autocovariances. Expanding the RHS of (2) gives

(3)  
$$I(\omega_j) = \frac{2}{T} \left\{ \sum_t \sum_s \cos(\omega_j t) \cos(\omega_j s) (y_t - \bar{y}) (y_s - \bar{y}) \right\} + \frac{2}{T} \left\{ \sum_t \sum_s \sin(\omega_j t) \sin(\omega_j s) (y_t - \bar{y}) (y_s - \bar{y}) \right\},$$

and, by using the identity  $\cos(A)\cos(B) + \sin(A)\sin(B) = \cos(A - B)$ , we can rewrite this as

(4) 
$$I(\omega_j) = \frac{2}{T} \bigg\{ \sum_t \sum_s \cos(\omega_j [t-s])(y_t - \bar{y})(y_s - \bar{y}) \bigg\}.$$

Next, on defining  $\tau = t - s$  and writing  $c_{\tau} = \sum_{t} (y_t - \bar{y})(y_{t-\tau} - \bar{y})/T$ , we can reduce the latter expression to

(5) 
$$I(\omega_j) = 2 \sum_{\tau=1-T}^{T-1} \cos(\omega_j \tau) c_\tau = 2 \bigg\{ c_0 + 2 \sum_{\tau=1}^{T-1} \cos(\omega_j \tau) c_\tau \bigg\},$$

## D.S.G. POLLOCK: TIME-SERIES ANALYSIS

which is a Fourier transform of the sequence of empirical autocovariances.

From the definition of the frequency  $\omega_j$ , it follows that  $\cos(\omega_j \{T - \tau\}) = \cos(\omega_j \tau)$ , which is to say that the cosine is an even function of the index  $\tau = 0, \ldots, T - 1$ . Therefore, (5) can be rewritten as

(6) 
$$I(\omega_j) = 2 \bigg\{ c_0 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{T-1} \cos(\omega_j \tau) (c_\tau + c_{T-\tau}) \bigg\},$$

Here, the values  $\tilde{c}_0 = c_0$ ,  $\tilde{c}_\tau = c_\tau + c_{T-\tau}$ ;  $\tau = 1, \ldots T-1$  constitute the so-called circular autocovariances.

It is easy to see that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the sequence of circular autocovariances  $\tilde{c}_0, \ldots, \tilde{c}_{T-1}$  and the sequence of periodogram ordinates  $I_0, \ldots, I_{T-1}$ . We have already seen in (6) that

(7) 
$$I_{j} = \frac{T}{2}\rho_{j}^{2} = 2\sum_{\tau=0}^{T-1} \tilde{c}_{\tau} \cos(\omega_{j}\tau).$$

To show that, conversely,

(8) 
$$\tilde{c}_{\tau} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} I_j \cos(\omega_j \tau),$$

we may substitute into the latter the expression for  $I_j$ . The result should be an identity. Thus we find that

(9)  
$$\tilde{c}_{\tau} = \frac{2}{T} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \cos(\omega_j \tau) \left\{ \sum_{\kappa=0}^{T-1} \tilde{c}_{\kappa} \cos(\omega_j \kappa) \right\}$$
$$= \frac{2}{T} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{T-1} \tilde{c}_{\kappa} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \cos(\omega_j \kappa) \cos(\omega_j \tau).$$

But the orthogonality relationships affecting the cosine functions at the Fourier frequencies imply that

(10) 
$$\sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \cos(\omega_j \kappa) \cos(\omega_j \tau) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \kappa \neq \tau; \\ \frac{T}{2}, & \text{if } \kappa = \tau. \end{cases}$$

Using these results in (9) reduces the RHS to  $\tilde{c}_{\tau}$ , which establishes the necessary identity.