

### The Newton-Raphson Method

The Newton–Raphson method for minimising a function  $S = S(\theta)$  is based on the following quadratic approximation to  $S$ :

$$(1) \quad S_q(\theta) = S(\theta_r) + \gamma'_r(\theta - \theta_r) + \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta_r)'H_r(\theta - \theta_r).$$

Here  $\gamma_r = \partial S(\theta_r)/\partial\theta$  stands for the derivative of the function evaluated at  $\theta_r$ , whilst  $H_r = \partial\{\partial S(\theta_r)/\partial\theta\}'/\partial\theta$  is the Hessian matrix comprising the second-order partial derivatives of the function, also evaluated at  $\theta_r$ . By differentiating  $S_q$  in respect of  $\theta$  and setting the result to zero, we obtain the condition

$$(2) \quad 0 = \gamma'_r + (\theta - \theta_r)'H_r.$$

The value which minimises the function is therefore

$$(3) \quad \theta_{r+1} = \theta_r - H_r^{-1}\gamma_r;$$

and this expression describes the  $(r + 1)$ th iteration of the Newton–Raphson algorithm. If the function to be minimised is indeed a concave quadratic, then the Newton–Raphson procedure will attain the minimum in a single step. Notice also that, if  $H = I$ , then the method coincides with the method of steepest descent. In the case of  $H = I$ , the contours of the quadratic function are circular.

The disadvantages of the Newton–Raphson procedure arise when the value of the Hessian matrix at  $\theta_r$  is not positive definite. In that case, the step from  $\theta_r$  to  $\theta_{r+1}$  is liable to be in a direction which is away from the minimum value. This hazard can be illustrated by a simple diagram which relates to the problem of finding the minimum of a function defined over the real line. The problems only arise when the approximation  $\theta_r$  is remote from the true minimum of the function. Of course, in the neighbourhood the minimising value, the function is concave; and, provided that the initial approximation  $\theta_0$ , with which the iterations begin, is within this neighbourhood, the Newton–Raphson procedure is likely to perform well.

### The Minimisation of a Sum of Squares

In statistics, we often encounter the kind of optimisation problem which requires us to minimise a sum-of-squares function

$$(4) \quad S(\theta) = \varepsilon'(\theta)\varepsilon(\theta),$$

wherein  $\varepsilon(\theta)$  is a vector of residuals which is a nonlinear function of a vector  $\theta$ . The value of  $\theta$  corresponding to the minimum of the function commonly

represents the least-squares estimate of the parameters of a statistical model. Such problems may be approached using the Newton–Raphson method which we described in the previous section. However, the specialised nature of the function  $S(\theta)$  allow us to pursue a method which avoids the trouble of finding its second-order derivatives and which has other advantages as well. This is the Gauss–Newton method, and it depends upon using a linear approximation of the function  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\theta)$ . In the neighbourhood of  $\theta_r$ , the approximating function is

$$(5) \quad e = \varepsilon(\theta_r) + \frac{\partial \varepsilon(\theta_r)}{\partial \theta} (\theta - \theta_r),$$

where  $\partial \varepsilon(\theta_r)/\partial \theta$  stands for the first derivative of  $\varepsilon(\theta)$  evaluated at  $\theta = \theta_r$ . This gives rise, in turn, to an approximation to  $S$  in the form of

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} S_g = & \varepsilon'(\theta_r)\varepsilon(\theta_r) + (\theta - \theta_r)' \left\{ \frac{\partial \varepsilon(\theta_r)}{\partial \theta} \right\}' \left\{ \frac{\partial \varepsilon(\theta_r)}{\partial \theta} \right\} (\theta - \theta_r) \\ & + 2\varepsilon'(\theta_r) \frac{\partial \varepsilon(\theta_r)}{\partial \theta} (\theta - \theta_r). \end{aligned}$$

By differentiating  $S_g$  in respect of  $\theta$  and setting the result to zero, we obtain the condition

$$(7) \quad 0 = 2(\theta - \theta_r)' \left\{ \frac{\partial \varepsilon(\theta_r)}{\partial \theta} \right\}' \left\{ \frac{\partial \varepsilon(\theta_r)}{\partial \theta} \right\} + 2\varepsilon'(\theta_r) \frac{\partial \varepsilon(\theta_r)}{\partial \theta}.$$

The value which minimises the function  $S_g$  is therefore

$$(8) \quad \theta_{r+1} = \theta_r - \left[ \left\{ \frac{\partial \varepsilon(\theta_r)}{\partial \theta} \right\}' \left\{ \frac{\partial \varepsilon(\theta_r)}{\partial \theta} \right\} \right]^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\partial \varepsilon(\theta_r)}{\partial \theta} \right\}' \varepsilon(\theta_r).$$

This equation represents the algorithm of the Gauss–Newton procedure, and it provides the formula by which we can find the  $(r + 1)$ th approximation to the value which minimises sum of squares once we have the  $r$ th approximation.

The affinity of the Gauss–Newton and the Newton–Raphson methods is confirmed when we recognise that the matrix in (8) is simply an approximation to the Hessian matrix of the sum-of-squares function which is

$$(9) \quad \frac{\partial(\partial S/\partial \theta)'}{\partial \theta} = 2 \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \theta} \right)' \left( \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \theta} \right) + \sum_t \varepsilon_t \left\{ \frac{\partial(\partial \varepsilon_t/\partial \theta)'}{\partial \theta} \right\}' \right].$$

The matrix of the Gauss–Newton procedure is always positive semi-definite; and, in this respect, the procedure has an advantage over the Newton–Raphson procedure.