

Maximum-Likelihood Estimation of the Classical Linear Model

Consider the classical regression model

$$(1) \quad y_t = x_{t1}\beta_1 + x_{t2}\beta_2 + \cdots + x_{tk}\beta_k + \varepsilon_t,$$

where $t = 1, \dots, T$ is the index for T successive observations. Let us assume that the disturbances ε_t are distributed normally, independently and identically with $E(\varepsilon_t) = 0$ and $V(\varepsilon_t) = \sigma^2$ for all t . The equation above can be written in summary form as

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} y_t &= x_t \beta + \varepsilon_t, \\ \text{where } x_t &= [x_{t1}, x_{t2}, \dots, x_{tk}], \\ \text{and } \beta &= [\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k]'; \end{aligned}$$

and the set of T such equations can be compiled as

$$(3) \quad y = X\beta + \varepsilon.$$

Let us assume that the disturbances ε_t , which are the elements of the vector $\varepsilon = [\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_T]'$, are distributed independently and identically according to a normal distribution

$$(4) \quad N(\varepsilon_t; 0, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left\{ \frac{-1}{2\sigma^2} (y_t - x_t \beta)^2 \right\}.$$

Then, if the the vectors x_t are taken as data, the observations $y_t; t = 1, \dots, T$ have density functions $N(y_t; x_t \beta, \sigma^2)$ which are of the same form as those of the disturbances, and the likelihood function of β and σ^2 , based on the sample, is

$$(5) \quad L = \prod_{t=1}^T N(y_t; x_t \beta, \sigma^2) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-T/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{-1}{2\sigma^2} (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta) \right\}.$$

The logarithm of this function

$$(6) \quad L^*(\beta, \sigma) = -\frac{T}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{T}{2} \ln(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta);$$

and, in order to pursue the theory of maximum-likelihood estimation, we need to find the first and second-order derivatives of L^* with respect to its arguments which are the unknown parameters. The requisite derivatives are as follows:

$$(7) \quad \frac{\partial L^*}{\partial \beta} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}(y - X\beta)'X,$$

$$(8) \quad \frac{\partial L^*}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{T}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4}(y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta),$$

$$(9) \quad \frac{\partial(\partial L^*/\partial \beta)'}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2}X'X,$$

$$(10) \quad \frac{\partial(\partial L^*/\sigma^2)'}{\partial \sigma^2} = \frac{T}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma^6}(y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta),$$

$$(11) \quad \frac{\partial(\partial L^*/\beta)'}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^4}X'(y - X\beta).$$

To find the maximum-likelihood estimator of β , we set the derivative of equation (7) to zero. This gives a first-order condition for the maximisation of the log-likelihood function; and the solution of the equation is the estimator

$$(12) \quad \tilde{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y.$$

This is nothing but the ordinary least-squares estimator of β which is usually denoted by $\hat{\beta}$.

To find the maximum-likelihood estimator of σ^2 , we set the derivative of equation (8) to zero. Then we multiply the resulting first-order condition by a factor of $2\sigma^4/T$. Rearranging the result gives a maximum-likelihood estimating equation in the form of

$$(13) \quad \sigma^2(\beta) = \frac{1}{T}(y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta).$$

Setting $\beta = \tilde{\beta}$ gives an estimator for σ^2 which differs from the usual unbiased estimator which is

$$(14) \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{T - k}(y - X\hat{\beta})'(y - X\hat{\beta}).$$

However, the differences between $\tilde{\sigma}^2$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ vanish as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

The theory of maximum-likelihood estimation indicates that, if $\tilde{\theta}$ is the maximum-likelihood estimator, which is obtained by evaluating the first-order condition $\partial L^*/\partial \theta$ for the maximisation of the log-likelihood function $L^*(\theta) = \ln L(\theta)$, then $\sqrt{T}(\tilde{\theta} - \theta)$ has the limiting normal distribution $N(0, M)$, where

$$(15) \quad M = -\text{plim} \left\{ \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial(\partial L^*/\partial \theta)'}{\partial \theta} \right\}^{-1}.$$

Here the derivatives may be evaluated at the point of the maximum-likelihood estimates which is known to tend in probability to the true parameter value θ as the size of the sample increases.

In the present case, we need to find the limits derivatives under (9), (10) and (11) scaled by the factor T^{-1} . With $\beta = \hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$, the numerator of equation (11) becomes $X'(y - X\hat{\beta}) = X'e = 0$; and so the term can be set to zero. When the LHS of equation (10) is divided by T and β is set to $\tilde{\beta}$, it will be recognised that the equation incorporates the expression $(y - X\tilde{\beta})'(y - X\tilde{\beta})/T = \tilde{\sigma}^2$ which stands from the consistent maximum-likelihood estimator of σ^2 . The latter is subject to the condition that $\text{plim}(T \rightarrow \infty)\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \sigma^2$. Finally, it will be recognised that, under standard assumptions, $\text{plim}(T^{-1}X'X) = M_{xx}$ is a matrix of finite-valued constants. The conclusion is that

$$(16) \quad \text{plim} \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial(\partial L^*/\partial\beta)'}{\partial\beta} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2}M,$$

$$(17) \quad \text{plim} \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial(\partial L^*/\sigma^2)'}{\partial\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma^4},$$

$$(18) \quad \text{plim} \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial(\partial L^*/\beta)'}{\partial\sigma^2} = 0.$$

It follows that, in the case of the classical linear regression model, we have

$$(19) \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} M_{\beta\beta} & M_{\beta\sigma} \\ M_{\sigma\beta} & M_{\sigma\sigma} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M_{xx}/\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/(2\sigma^4) \end{bmatrix}^{-1}.$$

This gives the asymptotic dispersion matrix for $\sqrt{T}\tilde{\beta}$ and $\sqrt{T}\tilde{\sigma}^2$. In finite samples, the dispersion matrix for $\tilde{\beta}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^2$ may be approximated by

$$(20) \quad D \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\beta} \\ \tilde{\sigma}^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sigma^4/T \end{bmatrix}.$$

This may be compared with the dispersion matrix of the ordinary least-squares estimates under conditions of normality, which is given by

$$(21) \quad D \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta} \\ \hat{\sigma}^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sigma^4/(T - k) \end{bmatrix}.$$