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## DIAGONALISATION OF A SYMMETRIC MATRIX

Characteristic Roots and Characteristic Vectors. Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix such that $A=A^{\prime}$, and imagine that the scalar $\lambda$ and the vector $x$ satisfy the equation $A x=\lambda x$. Then $\lambda$ is a characteristic root of $A$ and $x$ is a corresponding characteristic vector. We also refer to characteristic roots as latent roots or eigenvalues. The characteristic vectors are also called eigenvectors.

The characteristic vectors corresponding to two distinct characteristic roots are orthogonal. Thus, if $A x_{1}=\lambda_{1} x_{1}$ and $A x_{2}=\lambda_{2} x_{2}$ with $\lambda_{1} \neq \lambda_{2}$, then $x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}=0$.

Proof. Premultiplying the defining equations by $x_{2}^{\prime}$ and $x_{1}^{\prime}$ respectively, gives $x_{2}^{\prime} A x_{1}=$ $\lambda_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{1}$ and $x_{1}^{\prime} A x_{2}=\lambda_{2} x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}$. But $A=A^{\prime}$ implies that $x_{2}^{\prime} A x_{1}=x_{1}^{\prime} A x_{2}$, whence $\lambda_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{1}=$ $\lambda_{2} x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}$. Since $\lambda_{1} \neq \lambda_{2}$, it must be that $x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}=0$.

The characteristic vector corresponding to a particular root is defined only up to a factor of proportionality. For let $x$ be a characteristic vector of $A$ such that $A x=\lambda x$. Then multiplying the equation by a scalar $\mu$ gives $A(\mu x)=\lambda(\mu x)$ or $A y=\lambda y$; so $y=\mu x$ is another characteristic vector corresponding to $\lambda$.

If $P=P^{\prime}=P^{2}$ is a symmetric idempotent matrix, then its characteristic roots can take only the values of 0 and 1 .

Proof. Since $P=P^{2}$, it follows that, if $P x=\lambda x$, then $P^{2} x=\lambda x$ or $P(P x)=P(\lambda x)=$ $\lambda^{2} x=\lambda x$, which implies that $\lambda=\lambda^{2}$. This is possible only when $\lambda=0,1$.

Diagonalisation of a Symmetric Matrix. Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix, and let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be a set of $n$ linearly independent characteristic vectors corresponding to its roots $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$. Then we can form a set of normalised vectors

$$
c_{1}=\frac{x_{1}}{\sqrt{x_{1}^{\prime} x_{1}}}, \ldots, c_{n}=\frac{x_{n}}{\sqrt{x_{n}^{\prime} x_{n}}},
$$

which have the property that

$$
c_{i}^{\prime} c_{j}= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } i \neq j \\ 1, & \text { if } i=j\end{cases}
$$

The first of these reflects the condition that $x_{i}^{\prime} x_{j}=0$. It follows that $C=\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right]$ is an orthonormal matrix such that $C^{\prime} C=C C^{\prime}=I$.

Now consider the equation $A\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right]=\left[\lambda_{1} c_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} c_{n}\right]$ which can also be written as $A C=C \Lambda$ where $\Lambda=\operatorname{Diag}\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}$ is the matrix with $\lambda_{i}$ as its $i$ th diagonal elements and with zeros in the non-diagonal positions. Postmultiplying the equation by $C^{\prime}$ gives $A C C^{\prime}=A=C \Lambda C^{\prime}$; and premultiplying by $C^{\prime}$ gives $C^{\prime} A C=C^{\prime} C \Lambda=\Lambda$. Thus $A=C \Lambda C^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime} A C=\Lambda$; and $C$ is effective in diagonalising $A$.

Let $D$ be a diagonal matrix whose $i$ th diagonal element is $1 / \sqrt{\lambda_{i}}$ so that $D^{\prime} D=\Lambda^{-1}$ and $D^{\prime} \Lambda D=I$. Premultiplying the equation $C^{\prime} A C=\Lambda$ by $D^{\prime}$ and postmultiplying it by
$D$ gives $D^{\prime} C^{\prime} A C D=D^{\prime} \Lambda D=I$ or $T A T^{\prime}=I$, where $T=D^{\prime} C^{\prime}$. Also, $T^{\prime} T=C D D^{\prime} C^{\prime}=$ $C \Lambda^{-1} C^{\prime}=A^{-1}$. Thus we have shown that

For any symmetric matrix $A=A^{\prime}$, there exists a matrix $T$ such that $T A T^{\prime}=$ $I$ and $T^{\prime} T=A^{-1}$.

## COCHRANE'S THEOREM: THE DECOMPOSITION OF A CHI-SQUARE

The standard test of an hypothesis regarding the vector $\beta$ in the model $N\left(y ; X \beta, \sigma^{2} I\right)$ entails a multi-dimensional version of Pythagoras' Theorem. Consider the decomposition of the vector $y$ into the systematic component and the residual vector. This gives

$$
\begin{align*}
y & =X \hat{\beta}+(y-X \hat{\beta}) \quad \text { and } \\
y-X \beta & =(X \hat{\beta}-X \beta)+(y-X \hat{\beta}), \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where the second equation comes from subtracting the unknown mean vector $X \beta$ from both sides of the first. These equations can also be expressed in terms of the projector $P=X\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1} X^{\prime}$ which gives $P y=X \hat{\beta}$ and $(I-P) y=y-X \hat{\beta}=e$. Using the definition $\varepsilon=y-X \beta$ within the second of the equations, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=P y+(I-P) y \quad \text { and }  \tag{5}\\
& \varepsilon=P \varepsilon+(I-P) \varepsilon .
\end{align*}
$$

The reason for rendering the equations in this notation is that it enables us to envisage more clearly the Pythagorean relationship between the vectors. Thus, from the condition that $P=P^{\prime}=P^{2}$, which is equivalent to the condition that $P^{\prime}(I-P)=0$, it can be established that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon^{\prime} \varepsilon=\varepsilon^{\prime} P \varepsilon+\varepsilon^{\prime}(I-P) \varepsilon \quad \text { or } \\
& \varepsilon^{\prime} \varepsilon=(X \hat{\beta}-X \beta)^{\prime}(X \hat{\beta}-X \beta)+(y-X \hat{\beta})^{\prime}(y-X \hat{\beta}) . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The terms in these expressions represent squared lengths; and the vectors themselves form the sides of a right-angled triangle with $P \varepsilon$ at the base, $(I-P) \varepsilon$ as the vertical side and $\varepsilon$ as the hypotenuse.

The usual test of an hypothesis regarding the elements of the vector $\beta$ is based on the foregoing relationships. Imagine that the hypothesis postulates that the true value of the parameter vector is $\beta_{0}$. To test this notion, we compare the value of $X \beta_{0}$ with the estimated mean vector $X \hat{\beta}$. The test is a matter of assessing the proximity of the two vectors which is measured by the square of the distance which separates them. This is given by $\varepsilon^{\prime} P \varepsilon=\left(X \hat{\beta}-X \beta_{0}\right)^{\prime}\left(X \hat{\beta}-X \beta_{0}\right)$. If the hypothesis is untrue and if $X \beta_{0}$ is remote from the true value of $X \beta$, then the distance is liable to be excessive. The distance can only be assessed in comparison with the variance $\sigma^{2}$ of the disturbance term or with an
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estimate thereof. Usually, one has to make do with the estimate of $\sigma^{2}$ which is provided by

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\sigma}^{2} & =\frac{(y-X \hat{\beta})^{\prime}(y-X \hat{\beta})}{T-k}  \tag{7}\\
& =\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}(I-P) \varepsilon}{T-k} .
\end{align*}
$$

The numerator of this estimate is simply the squared length of the vector $e=(I-P) y=$ $(I-P) \varepsilon$ which constitutes the vertical side of the right-angled triangle.

The test uses the result that
If $y \sim N\left(X \beta, \sigma^{2} I\right)$ and if $\hat{\beta}=\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1} X^{\prime} y$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\left\{\frac{(X \hat{\beta}-X \beta)^{\prime}(X \hat{\beta}-X \beta)}{k} / \frac{(y-X \hat{\beta})^{\prime}(y-X \hat{\beta})}{T-k}\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is distributed as an $F(k, T-k)$ statistic.
This result depends upon Cochrane's Theorem concerning the decomposition of a chisquare random variate. The following is a statement of the theorem which is attuned to our present requirements:

Let $\varepsilon \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2} I_{T}\right)$ be a random vector of $T$ independently and identically distributed elements. Also let $P=X\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1} X^{\prime}$ be a symmetric idempotent matrix, such that $P=P^{\prime}=P^{2}$, which is constructed from a matrix $X$ of order $T \times k$ with $\operatorname{Rank}(X)=k$. Then

$$
\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime} P \varepsilon}{\sigma^{2}}+\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}(I-P) \varepsilon}{\sigma^{2}}=\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime} \varepsilon}{\sigma^{2}} \sim \chi^{2}(T)
$$

which is a chi-square variate of $T$ degrees of freedom, represents the sum of two independent chi-square variates $\varepsilon^{\prime} P \varepsilon / \sigma^{2} \sim \chi^{2}(k)$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}(I-P) \varepsilon / \sigma^{2} \sim$ $\chi^{2}(T-k)$ of $k$ and $T-k$ degrees of freedom respectively.
To prove this result, we begin by finding an alternative expression for the projector $P=$ $X\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1} X^{\prime}$. First consider the fact that $X^{\prime} X$ is a symmetric positive-definite matrix. It follows that there exists a matrix transformation $T$ such that $T\left(X^{\prime} X\right) T^{\prime}=I$ and $T^{\prime} T=\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1}$. Therefore $P=X T^{\prime} T X^{\prime}=C_{1} C_{1}^{\prime}$, where $C_{1}=X T^{\prime}$ is a $T \times k$ matrix comprising $k$ orthonormal vectors such that $C_{1}^{\prime} C_{1}=I_{k}$ is the identity matrix of order $k$.

Now define $C_{2}$ to be a complementary matrix of $T-k$ orthonormal vectors. Then $C=\left[C_{1}, C_{2}\right]$ is an orthonormal matrix of order $T$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& C C^{\prime}=C_{1} C_{1}^{\prime}+C_{2} C_{2}^{\prime}=I_{T} \quad \text { and } \\
& C^{\prime} C=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C_{1}^{\prime} C_{1} & C_{1}^{\prime} C_{2} \\
C_{2}^{\prime} C_{1} & C_{2}^{\prime} C_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k} & 0 \\
0 & I_{T-k}
\end{array}\right] . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$
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The first of these results allows us to set $I-P=I-C_{1} C_{1}^{\prime}=C_{2} C_{2}^{\prime}$. Now, if $\varepsilon \sim$ $N\left(0, \sigma^{2} I_{T}\right)$ and if $C$ is an orthonormal matrix such that $C^{\prime} C=I_{T}$, then it follows that $C^{\prime} \varepsilon \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2} I_{T}\right)$. In effect, if $\varepsilon$ is a normally distributed random vector with a density function which is centred on zero and which has spherical contours, and if $C$ is the matrix of a rotation, then nothing is altered by applying the rotation to the random vector. On partitioning $C^{\prime} \varepsilon$, we find that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
C_{1}^{\prime} \varepsilon  \tag{11}\\
C_{2}^{\prime} \varepsilon
\end{array}\right] \sim N\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma^{2} I_{k} & 0 \\
0 & \sigma^{2} I_{T-k}
\end{array}\right]\right)
$$

which is to say that $C_{1}^{\prime} \varepsilon \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2} I_{k}\right)$ and $C_{2}^{\prime} \varepsilon \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2} I_{T-k}\right)$ are independently distributed normal vectors. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime} C_{1} C_{1}^{\prime} \varepsilon}{\sigma^{2}}=\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime} P \varepsilon}{\sigma^{2}} \sim \chi^{2}(k) \quad \text { and }  \tag{12}\\
& \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime} C_{2} C_{2}^{\prime} \varepsilon}{\sigma^{2}}=\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}(I-P) \varepsilon}{\sigma^{2}} \sim \chi^{2}(T-k)
\end{align*}
$$

are independent chi-square variates. Since $C_{1} C_{1}^{\prime}+C_{2} C_{2}^{\prime}=I_{T}$, the sum of these two variates is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime} C_{1} C_{1}^{\prime} \varepsilon}{\sigma^{2}}+\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime} C_{2} C_{2}^{\prime} \varepsilon}{\sigma^{2}}=\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime} \varepsilon}{\sigma^{2}} \sim \chi^{2}(T) ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus the theorem is proved.
The statistic under (8) can now be expressed in the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\left\{\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime} P \varepsilon}{k} / \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}(I-P) \varepsilon}{T-k}\right\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is manifestly the ratio of two chi-square variates divided by their respective degrees of freedom; and so it has an $F$ distribution with these degrees of freedom. This result provides the means for testing the hypothesis concerning the parameter vector $\beta$.

