

Genetic linkage mapping in an F2 perennial ryegrass population using DArT markers.

Journal:	Plant Breeding					
Manuscript ID:	PLBR-11-SC-058.R4					
Manuscript Type:	Short Communication					
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a					
Complete List of Authors:	Tomaszewski, Celine Byrne, Stephen Foito, Alexandre Kildea, Steven Kopecky, David Dolezel, Jaroslav Heslop-Harrison, J.S.; University of Leicester, Biology Stewart, Derek Barth, Susanne; Teagasc, Crops, Environment and Land Use Programme					
Keywords:	Lolium perenne, perennial ryegrass, crown rust, Puccinia coronata, DArT, genetic map					



PLBR Manuscript Proof

1
ว
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
0
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
10
16
17
18
19
20
21
∠ I 00
22
23
24
25
26
20
21
28
29
30
31
22
32
33
34
35
36
37
20
30
39
40
41
42
43
ΔΛ
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
50 E1
51
52
53
54
55
56
50
57
58
59

60

	Genetic linkage map markers.	ping in an F2 perennial ryegrass population using DArT					
	Céline Tomaszewski ^{1,2} , Kopecký ⁵ , Jaroslav Dole	, Stephen L Byrne ^{1,3} , Alexandre Foito ^{1,4} , Steven Kildea ¹ , David ežel ⁵ , Pat (JS) Heslop-Harrison ² , Derek Stewart ⁴ , Susanne Barth ¹ .					
¹ Teagasc, Crops Environment and Land Use Programme, Oak Park Research Ce Carlow, Ireland ² Department of Biology, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 7RH, UK							
	Flakkebjerg, Forsøgsvej 1, 4200 Slagelse, Denmark						
	⁴ Plant Products and Food Quality, The James Hutton Institute, Invergowrie, Dundee D						
	5DA, Scotland, United Kingdom						
	⁵ Centre of the Region Haná for Biotechnological and Agricultural Research, Institute of						
	Experimental Botany, Sokolovská 6, CZ-77200, Olomouc, Czech Republic						
	Corresponding Author;	Susanne Barth					
		Teagasc Crops, Environment and Land Use Programme,					
		Oak Park Research Centre, Carlow, Ireland.					
		Telephone: +353(0)599170290					
		susanne.barth@teagasc.ie					
	Vourwarda						

Keywords

Lolium perenne, perennial ryegrass, genetic map, crown rust, Puccinia coronata, DArT.

Abstract

Perennial ryegrass is the principal forage grass species used in temperate agriculture. In recent years significant efforts have been made to develop molecular marker strategies to allow cost effective characterisation of a large number of loci simultaneously. One such strategy involves using DArT markers, and a DArT array has recently been developed for the Lolium-Festuca complex. In this study, we report the first use of the DArTFest array to generate a genetic linkage map based on 326 markers in a Lolium perenne F₂ population, consisting of 325 genotypes. For proof of concept, the map was used to identify QTL Pinces ... associated with differences in crown rust susceptibility, caused by the fungal biotroph, Puccinia coronata.

Introduction

Lolium perenne (L.) is the principle forage used in temperate agriculture (Hannaway et al. 1999) and traditional breeding programs have been successful in developing varieties fit for purpose. By targeting genetic improvement through the use of molecular markers, there is potential to rapidly assist traditional ryegrass breeding programs. However, this will require availability of high throughput marker systems.

Existing *L. perenne* genetic linkage maps are primarily based on Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP), Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (AFLP) and Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) markers (Bert et al. 1999, Armstead et al. 2002, Jones et al. 2002a and b, Faville et al. 2004, Gill et al. 2006, Anhalt et al. 2008). These marker systems suffer from being relatively low throughput. Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) marker systems are one high throughput option but require DNA sequence information prior to array development. An alternative high throughput marker system is DArT (Diversity Array Technology), which allows simultaneous screening of thousands of anonymous loci on microarrays. DArT arrays have already been used for genotyping in *Festuca pratensis, Lolium multiflorum, Triticum aestivum* and *Arabidopsis thaliana* among others (Bartoš et al. 2011; Akbari et al. 2006; Wittenberg et al. 2005). A DArT array, named DArTFest, was recently developed for the *Lolium-Festuca* complex of plants containing 7680 probes derived from methyl filtered genomic fragments (Kopecky et al. 2009).

In this study we describe the first use of the DArTFest array for genetic linkage mapping in the economically important species *Lolium perenne*. An existing F₂ perennial ryegrass mapping population was utilised and 325 genotypes were hybridized on to the DArTFest array. The utility of the resulting genetic linkage map for QTL analysis was demonstrated by identification of QTL associated with moderate crown rust resistance.

Materials and Methods

Genetic Linkage Map Construction

A genetic linkage map primarily based on SSR markers has previously been created for this population (Anhalt et al. 2008) and consisted of 75 markers in total. Since then, a number of additional SSR markers have also been added to the population and are included in this study. In order to develop a higher density map we have utilised the DArTFest array developed for Lolium/Festuca by Kopecký et al. (2009). The DArtFest array contains 7,680 probes and was developed from five species within the Lolum-Festuca complex (Lolium perenne, Lolium multiflurum, Festuca pratensis, Festuca arundinacea, and Festuca glaucescens). The parents of the F_2 biomass population were used in the establishment of the DArTFest array, together with the parents of other *Lolium* and *Festuca* mapping populations. After array development, individual genotypes were hybridised and scored for the presence and absence of each marker (Kopecky et al. 2009) and the information has been deposited on a publicly accessible website (http://bioinf.scri.ac.uk/germinate grasse/). Additionally, we developed three markers to map putative disease resistance genes belonging to the NBS-LRR gene family EST1. EST7 and EST45 previously identified in ryegrass (Xing et al. 2007). EST1 was sequenced in the parental material of the F_2 population using the primers described in (Xing et al. 2007) and a hybridization probe was designed in a region of nucleotide diversity (FP: CGGTAAACACTTTCCGTGCT, RP: GTGGTTTGGGCTCTGTTCAT, probe TGAAGCCCGTTTGTGCGATGGT-Fluorescein, probe 2: LC Red 640-1: CCACCATTCTTAATCTTGAGCTACTTGGCTCCAGCCGTA) and genotyping was performed after melt curve analysis on the Roche LightCycler® 480 System II . EST7 and EST45 were mapped using the "Blind" mapping approach as described in (Studer et al. 2009). Primers were designed to amplify a 200bp and 216bp product in EST7 (FP: TGTGTGCATCTTCCACCAAT, RP: AAGGACCACTCCTCCCAGTT) and EST45 (FP: TGTCCCCATCCTCAATTCTC, RP: CAGGAGATCCTCCTCTGTGC) respectively. High resolution melt analysis was carried out on the Roche LightCycler® 480 System II using LightCycler® 480 High Resolution Melting Master (Cat. No. 04909631001, Roche).

A total of 325 genotypes were hybridised to the DArTFest array and used for map

1

PLBR Manuscript Proof

construction in JoinMap 4.0 (Van Ooijen, 2006). Genotyping data from the DArTFest array was combined with genotyping data from SSR markers previously mapped in this population to generate a new genetic linkage map. Severely distorted markers were removed by retaining only markers with a chi-squared value of less than 25 (this included the removal of some SSR markers previously mapped in the population). Markers were grouped into seven linkage groups based on Logarithm of Odds (LOD) groupings. In the first instance all dominant markers were placed into two sets depending on the segregation, A/C and B/D scores, and co-dominant markers were in both sets. A preliminary genetic linkage map was constructed for both sets using the multipoint Maximum Likelihood (ML) algorithm of JoinMap 4.0. The resulting map order of the longest map was then used as a fixed order file in a subsequent round of ML mapping using the complete set of markers (A/C, B/D and co-dominant). This resulted in an initial map based on 653 DArT markers and 29 co-dominant markers (Supporting Information 1). The plausible positions tab was then utilised to identify uncertainties in marker order. In areas where marker order was difficult to resolve, markers were removed and re-calculated until a final map was obtained, in which we had a high confidence in marker order. Diagrams were prepared with MapChart 2.2 (Voorrips 2002).

Crown Rust Assessment

The experimental mapping population used in the study consisted of genotypes from an F_2 biomass population previously used to identify biomass QTL (Anhalt et al. 2008; Anhalt et al. 2009). The genotypes were planted in the field (Carlow, Ireland) in 2006 as mini swards in an α -lattice design with two-fold replication (described in detail in Anhalt et al. 2009). Crown rust was visually assessed on an entire plant basis on four occasions between May and July in 2007 (time point 1 to 4), and once in May 2008 (time point 5). Disease severity was recorded at each assessment using a scale of 0 (no visible infection) to 9 (highly infected) (Studer et al. 2007).

QTL Analysis

The disease scores (mean of block 1 and 2) from each time point individually were used for QTL modeling, which was performed using R/QTL (Browman et al. 2003). A genome wide significance level of 5% was calculated after 1000 permutations (Churchill and

Doerge 1994). The suite of functions for multiple QTL modelling was used as described in Browman and Sen (2009). Genotype probabilities were calculated using the function *calc.genoprob.* An initial QTL model was developed by adding significant QTL after performing a single QTL analysis employing standard interval mapping with the function *scanone.* A QTL object was prepared with *makeqtl* and *fitqtl* was used to fit the initial model. *T*o get improved estimates of QTL position *refineqtl* was used, whilst *addint* and *addqtl* were employed to test for QTL interactions and scan for additional QTL to be added to the model, respectively. When interactions or new QTL were added to the model the process was repeated with the newly developed model as a starting point.

Results and Discussion

We have generated a robust genetic linkage map using ML mapping that has a map length of 966 cM and consists of 297 DArT markers and 29 co-dominant markers (Figure 1). The inclusion of SSR markers allowed the assignment of DArT markers to seven linkage groups. The average spacing between markers has been reduced from 7.5 cM in the original map (Anhalt et al. 2008) to 1.54 cM, which should improve its utility for mapping applications. Only markers not showing severe segregation distortion in the original map were retained for use in the generation of the current DArT based map. The initial map generated was based on 653 DArT markers showing polymorphism in the population. However, this was reduced to 297 DArT markers that we could unambiguously order to generate a map suitable for QTL analysis. The putative order of all 653 markers is shown in Supporting Information 1. The DArTFest array contains 1,725 probes positively scored in perennial ryegrass (Kopecky et al. 2009) and we have assigned 37.8% to putative positions and 17.2% have been ordered with high confidence.

This is the first report of mapping DArT markers using the DArTFest array in *L. perenne*. However, the array has been used recently in the creation of *F. pratensis* and *L. multiflorum* genetic maps (Bartoš et al. 2011), and markers in common between maps are shown in supporting information 1. The DArT markers mapped in the study of Bartoš et al (2011) have been sequenced and 64.2% were found to be unique, with the majority of markers originating from expressed regions of the genome. The sequencing of markers allowed the establishment of syntenic relationships with rice and Brachypodium. The

sequencing of additional markers from the DArT array is possible, including those mapped in the current study.

In future the DArT array may be applied to the genotyping of association mapping populations. In this case the relative order of markers provided by these genetic linkage maps will be very helpful. Perennial ryegrass is an outbreeding species and Linkage Disequilibrium (LD) is expected to decay over short distances in the genome (Brazauskas et al., 2011), which would require a much larger number of markers than is available on the DArTFest array. However, within individual perennial ryegrass breeding programs, LD may extend over much longer distances (Brummer and Casler, 2009). A high throughput marker system such as the DArT array may prove useful for such association analysis performed within the breeding material, requiring a moderate number of markers. Alternative marker systems that could be used for this purpose are SSR and SNP based markers. SSR marker systems have the advantage of being co-dominant, however they are not very amenable to high throughput analysis. SNP arrays developed by Illumina (GoldenGate, Infinium HD Assay) on the other hand represent very high throughput marker system, and arrays have been developed to interrogate up to 1 million SNPs in humans. However, to date no public SNP array resource for perennial ryegrass has yet been developed.

The perennial ryegrass DArT linkage map was initially used to identify QTL for crown rust resistance. The incidence of disease varied depending on the five time points and the highest disease pressure occurred in May 2007 (Supporting Information 2). The distribution of disease scores in the F2 population is also shown in supporting information 2. QTL modelling was performed at each time point (Table 1, ANOVA for each time point in Supporting Information 3). The percentage variation explained by QTL models varied between 18 and 35% depending on time-point and all QTL identified were located on linkage groups 2, 3, 4 and 7. There was no evidence of interactions between QTL at any of the time points. A QTL was identified on LG2 at all time points. The QTL identified on LG2 at 66.5 cM with scores from time point 1 was the largest effect QTL identified, explaining 12.76% of the phenotypic variance. This is also the time point that had the greatest disease pressure. The QTL on LG2 identified at the remaining four time points were

PLBR Manuscript Proof

located between 103.7 and 110.2 cM and accounted for between 5 and 11% of the phenotypic variation. One of the NBS-LRR genes (EST1) mapped in this study was located on LG2 at 71 cM, proximal to the largest effect QTL and it is possible that this gene is part of a larger NBS-LRR cluster in this region. In recent years a number of studies have reported on the identification of Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) for crown rust resistance in ryegrass (Dumsday et al., 2003; Muylle et al., 2005, Studer et al., 2007, Sim et al., 2006, Thorogood et al., 2001; Schejbel et al., 2007). To date, the genes underlying these resistance loci have not been cloned. Although many potential QTL regions have been identified, the most consistent detection has been on linkage group two (Dumsday et al. 2003; Muylle et al. 2005; Sim et al. 2007; Studer et al. 2007). However a lack of common polymorphic markers makes comparisons difficult. The value of the QTLs identified in the current study as potential sources of resistance is uncertain given the relatively low phenotypic variation explained by the individual QTL, and the possibility for differences in resistance due to field environment and local P. coronata populations (Dracatos et al., 2010). However, further investigation is warranted. The success of any molecular markers identified within experimental populations can be uncertain, since in order for a QTL to be valuable it must be segregating with the breeding material. However, presuming the identified loci represent valuable sources of resistance, the markers flanking these QTL may be used in a marker assisted backcrossing strategy to transfer the QTL to elite breeding material.

In this study we have generated the first genetic linkage map of *Lolium perenne* using the DArTFest array. In a preliminary study we have used it to map QTL associated with natural crown rust infection. It is envisaged that in the future more studies will utilise the DArTFest array for genotyping of both within family populations and association populations.

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article and genotyping data is available from the authors on request.

Supporting Information 1. Excel sheet showing the grouping of 682 markers initially mapped in the F₂ genetic linkage map of *Lolium perenne*. Numbers in first row refer to Linkage Group (LG) number. All DArT markers begin with D. Common markers between

PLBR Manuscript Proof

the *L. perenne* map and the maps of *F. pratensis* and *L. multiflorum* were highlighted in blue and red respectively. Markers common to the three maps are indicated in green. The markers in italic did not map to homologous linkage group.

Supporting Information 2. Figure 1 showing the disease scores at each of the five time points for parents, F_1 and the mean of the F_2 population. Figure 2, histogram showing the distribution of disease scores across the F_2 population.

Supporting Information 3. Full ANOVA model showing results of QTL analysis at each of the five scoring time points.

Acknowledgements

This study was financed by the Irish Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food under the Research Stimulus programme (RSF 06 346; SLB, AF and SB). CT is funded by a Teagasc PhD studentship. DS acknowledges support from the Scottish Government Rural and Environment Research.

References

Akbari M, Wenzl P, Caig V, Carling J, Xia L, Yang S, Uszynski G, Mohler V, Lehmensiek A, Kuchel H, Hayden MJ, Howes N, Sharp P, Vaughan P, Rathmell B, Huttner E and Kilian A 2006 Diversity arrays technology (DArT) for high-throughput profiling of the hexaploid wheat genome. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 113, 1409-1420

Anhalt U C M, Heslop-Harrison P, Byrne S, Guillard A and Barth S 2008 Segregation distortion in *Lolium*: evidence for genetic effects. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 117, 297-306

Anhalt U C M, Heslop-Harrison J S, Piepho H P, Byrne S and Barth S 2009 Quantitative trait loci mapping for biomass yield traits in a *Lolium* inbred line derived F-2 population. Euphytica 170, 99-107

Armstead IP, Turner LB, King IP, Cairns AJ and Humphreys MO 2002 Comparison and integration of genetic maps generated from F2 and BC1-type mapping populations in perennial ryegrass. Plant Breeding 121, 501-507

Bartoš J, Sandve SR, Kölliker R, Kopecký D, Christelová P, Stočes S, Ostrem L, Larsen A, Kilian A, Rognli OA and Doležel J 2011 Genetic mapping of DArT markers in the *Festuca*-

Lolium complex and their use in freezing tolerance association analysis. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 122, 1133-1147

Bert PF, Charmet G, Sourdille P, Hayward MD, Balfourier 1999 A high-density molecular map for ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) using AFLP markers. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 99, 445-452

Brazauskas G, Lenk , Lenk, I, Pedersen MG, Studer B, Lubberstedt T (2011). Genetic variation, population structure, and linkage disequilibrium in European elite germplasm of perennial ryegrass. Plant Science 181(4), 412-420

Broman KW, Wu H, Sen Ś and Churchill GA 2003 R/qtl: QTL mapping in experimental crosses. Bioinformatics 19, 889-890

Broman KW, Sen Ś 2009 A guide to QTL mapping with R/QTL. Springer, New York.

Brummer, E. C. and M. D. Casler (2009). Improving Selection in Forage, Turf, and Biomass Crops Using Molecular Markers. In: Yamada T, Spangenberg G (Eds.) Molecular Breeding of Forage and Turf, 193-209. Springer.

Churchill G A and Doerge R W 1994 Empirical Threshold Values for Quantitative Trait Mapping. Genetics 138, 963-97

Dumsday J L, Smith K F, Forster J W and Jones E S 2003 SSR-based genetic linkage analysis of resistance to crown rust (*Puccinia coronata* f. sp *Iolii*) in perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*). Plant Pathology 52, 628-637.

Faville MJ, Vecchies AC, Schreiber M, Drayton MJ, Hughes J, Jones ES, Guthridge KM, Smith KF, Sawbridge T, Spangenberg GC, Bryan GT and Forster JW 2004 Functionally associated molecular genetic marker map construction in perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.). Theoretical and Applied Genetics 110, 12–32

Gill GP, Wilcox PL, Whittaker DJ, Winz RA, Bickerstaff P, Echt CE, Kent J, Humphreys MO, Elborough KM, and Gardner RC 2006 A framework linkage map of perennial ryegrass based on SSR markers. Genome 49, 354–364

Hannaway D, Fransen S, Cropper J, Teel M, Chaney M, Griggs T, Halse R, Hart J, Cheeke P, Hansen D, Klinger R and Lane W 1999 *Lolium perenne. In.* A Pacific Northwest Extension Publication, Vol. PNW 502. Oregon State University, Washington State University, University of Idaho.

Jones ES, Dupal MP, Dumsday JL, Hughes LJ, Forster JW 2002 a An SSR-based genetic linkage map for perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne L.*). Theoretical and Applied Genetics 105, 577-584

Jones ES, Mahoney NL, Hayward MD, Armstead IP, Jones JG, Humphreys MO, King IP, Kishida T, Yamada T, Balfourier F et al. 2002b An enhanced molecular marker based

 genetic map of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) reveals comparative relationships with other Poaceae genomes. Genome 45, 282-295

Kopecký D, Bartoš J, Lukaszewski AJ, Baird JH, Černoch V, Kölliker R, Rognli OA, Blois H, Caig V, Lübberstedt T, Studer B, Shaw P, Doležel J and Kilian A 2009 Development and mapping of DArT markers within the *Festuca - Lolium* complex. – BMC Genomics 10, 473

Muylle H, Baert J, Van Bockstaele E, Pertijs J and Roldan-Ruiz I 2005 Four QTLs determine crown rust (*Puccinia coronata* f. sp *Iolii*) resistance in a perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) population. Heredity 95, 348-357

Sim S, Diesburg K, Casler M and Jung G 2007 Mapping and comparative analysis of QTL for crown rust resistance in an Italian x perennial ryegrass population. Phytopathology 97, 767-776

Studer B, Boller B, Bauer E, Posselt U K, Widmer F and Kolliker R 2007 Consistent detection of QTLs for crown rust resistance in Italian ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum* Lam.) across environments and phenotyping methods. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 115, 9-17

Studer B, Jensen LB, Fiil A and Asp T 2009 "Blind" mapping of genic DNA sequence polymorphisms in *Lolium perenne* L. by high resolution melting curve analysis. Molecular Breeding 24, 191-199

Wittenberg AH, Van der Lee T, Cayla C, Kilian A, Visser RG and Schouten HJ 2005 Validation of the high-throughput marker technology DArT using the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Molecular Genetics and Genomics 274, 30-39

Van Ooijen, JW 2006 JoinMap ® 4, Software for the calculation of genetic linkage maps in experimental populations. Kyazma B.V., Wageningen, Netherlands.

Voorrips R E 2002 MapChart: Software for the graphical presentation of linkage maps and QTLs. Journal of Heredity 93, 77-78

Xing Y, Frei U, Schejbel B, Asp T and Lubberstedt T 2007 Nucleotide diversity and linkage disequilibrium in 11 expressed resistance candidate genes in *Lolium perenne*. BMC Plant Biology 2007, 7:43.

Tables

Table 1: Summary of QTL modelling for each time point where disease incidence was measured. LOD and % variance explained (VE) describe the fit of the full model with all QTL relative to the null model. The position in cM of each QTL in the model is shown where at maximum LOD score.

Time Point	LOD and % VE	QTL in Model			% VE	
	by QTL model	LG	LG Position (cM)			
	29.79 (35.31%)	2	66.5	12.31	12.76	
4		3	102.5	3.39	3.28	
I (09/05/2007)		4	48.3	6.51	6.46	
(00/05/2007)		4	142.4	2.59	2.50	
		7	49.5	9.28	9.40	
0		2	110.2	6.33	7.71	
2 (20/06/2007)	14.41 (18.63%)	3	59.4	3.85	4.61	
(29/00/2007)		7	89.6	3.89	4.65	
2	15.83 (20.26%)	2	103.7	9.03	10.99	
(10/07/2007)		4	52.2	3.37	3.93	
(19/07/2007)		7	100.0	2.24	2.59	
4	12.05 (19.00%)	2	103.7	7.00	8.63	
(25/07/2007)	13.95 (16.09%)	7	105.1	6.22	7.62	
	25.22 (30.59%)	2	110.2	5.18	5.41	
5		3	80.8	7.92	8.42	
(19/05/2008)		4	13.7	7.66	8.14	
		7	136.4	3.51	3.62	

Figures

Figure 1: F₂ genetic linkage map of *Lolium perenne* with subset of DArT markers. Numbers above refer to Linkage Group (LG) number. All DArT markers begin with D. EST markers relate to three markers developed to map NBS-LRR related genes in the current study. Descriptions of the other markers utilised can be found in Anhalt et al., (2008). The numbers to the left of the linkage groups refer to the genetic distances (Kosambi cM).

PL RP Manuscript Proof

			FLD	R Manuscript Proof		
LG1	LG2	LG3	LG4	LG5	LG6	LG7
0.0 D562041 2.0 D55068 6.5 D562482 27.6 D55245 30.6 D555940 33.7 D555954 33.7 D555954 42.7 D555932 43.6 D555940 52.7 D565532 34.6 D555940 42.7 D555321 43.6 D555940 27.7 D555321 35.7 D565854 25.6 D55748 46.6 D557621 25.6 D558731 55.6 D558751 54.5 D556851 55.6 D556851 25.7 D556851 25.6 D556970 26.8 D558720 26.4 D56121 <	0.0 D356352 9.6 D355728 11.9 D558020 14.3 D558020 17.4 D558025 18.9 D556036 24.4 D556179 24.8 D557246 25.4 D556739 28.8 D55744 D556739 D36224 25.4 D556739 31.3 D557404 35.5 D556739 38.4 D557404 35.5 D556739 31.3 D5561765 38.4 D5561765 38.4 D556374 52.6 D5661765 38.4 D562047 66.9 D561765 38.4 D562174 65.0 D566174 53.6 D562047 66.9 D5611254 68.0 D562174 67.1 D55716 73.3 D557216 13.5 D355957 129.7 D557116 139.4 D561690 152.6 D56132	0.0 D556224 2.0 D562291 11.3 D556700 16.1 D561209 21.9 D55729 24.7 D55603 27.9 D55729 24.7 D556700 31.5 D56186 32.6 D556467 33.9 D562160 35.4 D555130 50.7 D556107 52.4 D556107 62.8 D556429 61.1 D556107 62.8 D556107 62.8 D556107 70.9 D557799 72.1 D55710 73.5 D56100 78.7 N0674 88.6 G04_054 99.4 D55736 102.2 D55736 102.3 D55736 102.4 D55736 102.5 D558649 110.7 rv1131 120.8 rv029 125.9 D388	0.0 NFFa142 13.6 DLF025 27.5 D561921 34.2 D355956 35.8 D561921 0.0 D561921 0.0 D55560 36.4 D561235 0.0 D561235 0.0 D561235 0.0 D561235 0.0 D565270 0.4 D561235 0.5 D555270 0.5 D555270 0.5 D555270 0.5 D555270 0.5 D555270 0.5 D555270 0.5 D556270 0.5 D556270 0.5 D556705 0.5 D557066 0.5 D557066 0.5 D557065 0.5 D557066 0.5 D557066 0.5 D557066 0.5 D557066 0.5 D557066 0.5 D557066 0.5 </td <td>0.0 4.4 7.0 8.1 12.8 10.559828 10.557721 12.8 10.559868 20.9 21.9 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0</td> <td>0.0 D560091 2.0 D562708 4.3 D557936 6.8 D356405 9.1 D356373 12.2 D356160 24.7 D557966 27.7 D561491 37.0 rv0307 42.7 D559731 43.7 D556976 50.2 D560993 51.5 D561533 57.4 D5558375 60,9 D355827 77.5 D561997 79.5 D561997 79.5 D556072 83.4 D557578 97.5 rv1423</td> <td>0.0 D555303 15.8 D556027 19.2 D56027 30.5 D562152 31.1 D562152 32.7 D562152 30.5 D562152 30.6 D562152 30.7 D562152 30.6 D562152 31.1 D56237 30.0 D562214 35.5 D562152 30.1 D56523 40.1 D555733 45.9 D555633 47.3 D556533 52.0 D555733 52.1 D555733 52.1 D555733 53.1 D556733 54.1 D557635 56.3 D556735 56.425 D567635 D567835 D557747 98.8 D557724 103.7 D557724 138.4 D557724 161.2 D5603644</td>	0.0 4.4 7.0 8.1 12.8 10.559828 10.557721 12.8 10.559868 20.9 21.9 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0	0.0 D560091 2.0 D562708 4.3 D557936 6.8 D356405 9.1 D356373 12.2 D356160 24.7 D557966 27.7 D561491 37.0 rv0307 42.7 D559731 43.7 D556976 50.2 D560993 51.5 D561533 57.4 D5558375 60,9 D355827 77.5 D561997 79.5 D561997 79.5 D556072 83.4 D557578 97.5 rv1423	0.0 D555303 15.8 D556027 19.2 D56027 30.5 D562152 31.1 D562152 32.7 D562152 30.5 D562152 30.6 D562152 30.7 D562152 30.6 D562152 31.1 D56237 30.0 D562214 35.5 D562152 30.1 D56523 40.1 D555733 45.9 D555633 47.3 D556533 52.0 D555733 52.1 D555733 52.1 D555733 53.1 D556733 54.1 D557635 56.3 D556735 56.425 D567635 D567835 D557747 98.8 D557724 103.7 D557724 138.4 D557724 161.2 D5603644

Page 14 of 14